

Exhibit No.

13

Date

4-10-07 #2

Bill No.

HB 512

**TSEP projects that have funding issues that may not be able to meet start-up conditions before the end of the biennium.**

Project No. 10 Town of Twin Bridges – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Plans to submit application in May 2007
STAG	Grant	\$ 850,000	Submit application in January of 2007
SRF	Loan	\$ 722,100	59 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Local	Cash	\$ 70,000	Committed by resolution
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,942,100</b>	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG and CDBG grants, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 11 Seeley Lake-Missoula County Water District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
STAG	Grant	\$1,000,000	Application to be submitted to in January 2007
RD	Loan	\$1,981,000	Application to be submitted in Spring 2007
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$3,831,000</b>	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 16 Loma County Water & Sewer District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
STAG	Grant	\$1,200,000	Application submitted to Congressional staff in January 2006
SRF	Loan	\$ 144,700	96 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,194,700</b>	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 20 Carter Chouteau County Water and Sewer District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
STAG	Grant	\$ 750,000	Request to Congressional staff submitted in January 2006
Project Total		\$1,500,000	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 22 Dayton/Lake County Water and Sewer District – New Wastewater System			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
WRDA	Grant	\$2,066,100	Application submitted to Congressional staff in January 2006
STAG	Grant	\$1,879,500	Application submitted to Congressional staff in January 2006
RD	Loan	\$ 533,400	Discussed with RD
District	In-kind	\$ 5,000	Committed by resolution
Project Total		\$5,334,000	

Funding for the project is highly questionable because of the STAG and WRDA grants, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 33 City of Three Forks – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
STAG	Grant	\$1,350,000	Application submitted, January 2006
SRF	Loan	\$1,338,738	55 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Project Total		\$3,538,738	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 35 City of Cut Bank – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 550,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
STAG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Applied January 2006
SRF	Loan	\$ 229,000	Not yet on the SRF priority list, and therefore, not yet eligible to apply for the loan
Project Total		\$1,329,200	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 36 Town of Whitehall – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
STAG	Grant	\$ 820,500	Request to Congressional staff submitted in January 2006
SRF	Loan	\$1,161,600	38 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
Town	Cash	\$ 180,000	Committed
Project Total		\$3,462,100	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG and CDBG grants, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 37 Crow Tribe for the Crow Agency – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
STAG	Grant	\$1,854,000	Application submitted February 2006
Project Total		\$2,604,000	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 39 (Tied) Town of Fairfield – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
STAG	Grant	\$1,000,000	Application submitted to Congressional staff in January 2006
SRF	Loan	\$ 641,200	61 <sup>st</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Project Total		\$2,391,200	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 39 (Tied) City of Hamilton – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
STAG	Grant	\$1,000,000	Request to Congressional staff submitted in February 2006
SRF	Loan	\$ 176,000	53 <sup>rd</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
City	Cash	\$ 625,000	Committed
Project Total		\$3,101,000	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG and CDBG grants, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 41 Gallatin County on Behalf of Hebgen Lake Estates – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
STAG	Grant	\$ 850,000	Application submitted to Congressional staff in January 2006
SRF	Loan	\$1,069,000	69 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Project Total		\$2,769,000	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 46 Brady County Water District – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 500,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
CDBG	Grant	\$ 20,000	Funds expended on PER
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
RD	Grant	\$ 300,000	Application submitted in May 2006
RD	Loan	\$ 277,616	Application submitted in May 2006
STAG	Grant	\$1,260,384	Application to be submitted June 2007
Project Total		\$3,208,000	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG and CDBG grants and the RD grant/loan package proposed, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 49 Town of Darby – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded if HB 6 is not amended
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
WRDA	Grant	\$3,169,531	Application submitted to Congressional staff in January 2006
RD	Grant	\$ 264,128	Application to be submitted in September 2006
RD	Loan	\$ 909,452	Application to be submitted in September 2006
Project Total		\$5,643,111	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the WRDA and CDBG grants, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 52 City of Columbia Falls – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
STAG	Grant	\$1,000,000	Application submitted February 2006
SRF	Loan	\$1,106,000	29 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
City	Reserves	\$ 954,000	Committed, partially expended for PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$3,910,000</b>	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the STAG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 53 (Tied) Mineral County/Saltse Water & Sewer District – New Wastewater System			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
CDBG	Grant	\$ 424,000	Application to be submitted in May 2007
SRF	Loan	\$ 45,800	39 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,319,800</b>	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the CDBG grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

**TSEP projects that are likely to meet start-up conditions before the end of the bienium.**

<b>Project No. 1</b>			
<b>Lewis and Clark County for the Woodlawn Park Addition – Water System Improvements</b>			
<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>Type of Funds</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Status of Funds</b>
TSEP	Grant	\$ 596,420	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 254,097	Awarded funding which will likely be available in April 2007
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$ 375,909	60 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
County	Cash	\$ 79,077	Funds committed
Private	Cash	\$ 43,982	Discussed with executive director of AGC Training Facility
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,449,637</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

<b>Project No. 2</b>			
<b>Town of Bainville – Wastewater System Improvements</b>			
<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>Type of Funds</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Status of Funds</b>
TSEP	Grant	\$ 715,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Awarded funding which will likely be available in April 2007
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$ 153,608	89 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Town	Cash	\$ 20,000	Expended on PER, growth policy, CIP, and income survey
CDBG	Grant	\$ 15,000	Expended on PER, growth policy, CIP, and income survey
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,453,608</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

<b>Project No. 3</b>			
<b>Madison County – Bridge System Improvements</b>			
<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>Type of Funds</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Status of Funds</b>
TSEP	Grant	\$ 370,100	
County	Local	\$ 15,000	Expended on the PER
County	Local	\$ 338,314	Committed by resolution
County	In-kind	\$ 16,786	Committed by resolution
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$ 740,200</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 4 Sweet Grass County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$151,493	
County	Reserves	\$ 15,000	Expended on PER
County	Reserves	\$ 94,425	Committed by resolution
County	In-kind	\$ 42,068	Approved by county commission
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$302,986</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 5 Powell County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$263,074	Awaiting decision of Legislature
County	Reserves	\$ 15,000	Expended on PER
County	Reserves	\$147,698	Committed by resolution
County	In-kind	\$ 18,903	Committed by resolution
Rock Creek Cattle Co.	Cash	\$ 81,473	Letter of Commitment
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$526,148</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 6 Town of Circle – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
RD	Loan	\$ 328,000	Application submitted
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,528,000</b>	

Even though the CDBG grant has not yet been awarded, this project has a good chance of obtaining the CDBG grant, and therefore, funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 7 City of Harlem – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Awarded funding which will likely be available in April 2007
SRF	Loan	\$1,030,000	116 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,230,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 8 Town of Jordan – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 700,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Awarded \$121,320 in 2006, must re-apply in 2007 for the remainder
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$ 142,953	65 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list and applied in May 2006
Town	Cash	\$ 15,000	Expended on PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,407,953</b>	

Although the town does not have all of its CDBG funding, it is likely that funding would be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 9 City of Thompson Falls – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$363,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded if the HB 6 is not amended
SRF	Loan	\$137,250	107 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
City	Reserves	\$135,000	Committed by resolution
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$735,250</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 12 Fergus County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$238,362	
County	Cash	\$115,362	Committed by resolution
County	In-kind	\$123,000	Committed by resolution
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$476,724</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 13 Sunny Meadows-Missoula County Water and Sewer District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$325,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
District	Reserves	\$ 64,500	Committed
SRF	Loan	\$180,000	90 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$669,500</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.



Project No. 14 Tri-County Water District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 313,500	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
District	Reserves	\$ 213,500	Committed
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$ 627,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 15 Blaine County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 617,017	
County	Local	\$ 371,568	Committed by resolution
County	In-Kind	\$ 225,950	Committed by resolution
County	Local	\$ 19,500	Expended on the PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,234,035</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 17 (Tied) Town of Ekalaka – Water and Wastewater Systems Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 706,369	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Awarded funding which will likely be available in April 2007
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded if the HB 6 is not amended
RD or SRF	Loan	\$ 156,369	Discussed with agencies
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,412,738</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 17 (Tied) Stillwater County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$407,500	
County	Cash	\$392,500	Funds committed by resolution
County	Cash	\$ 15,000	Funds expended on PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$815,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 19 Town of Sheridan – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
RD	Grant	\$ 560,000	Application submitted May 2006
RD	Loan	\$1,140,000	Application submitted May 2006
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,550,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 21 Bigfork County Water/Sewer District – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
SRF	Loan	\$1,199,000	11 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,949,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 23 (Tied) Judith Basin County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 192,215	
County	Cash	\$ 91,232	Committed
County	In-kind	\$ 100,983	Committed
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$ 384,430</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 23 (Tie) Town of Pinesdale – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Awarded funding which will likely be available in April 2007
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded if HB 6 is not amended
RD	Grant	\$ 115,000	Plan to submit application in September 2006
RD	Loan	\$ 344,817	Plan to submit application in September 2006
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,759,816</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 25 Power-Teton County Water & Sewer District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$604,286	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
WRDA	Grant	\$101,428	Application submitted in December, 2005
Project Total		\$805,714	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the WRDA grant, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium. However, there is a good possibility that they could get funded, or they would incur a loan to ensure that the TSEP funds are secured.

Project No. 26 Town of Superior – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 600,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$ 297,532	85 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Local	Cash	\$ 238,500	Committed by resolution
Project Total		\$1,236,032	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 27 RAE Subdivision County Water and Sewer District No. 313 – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Awarded \$121,320 in 2006, must re-apply in 2007 for the remainder
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Grant	\$ 140,301	Not yet on the SRF priority list, and therefore, not yet eligible to apply for the loan
District	Cash	\$ 167,750	Committed by resolution
Project Total		\$1,608,051	

Even though the CDBG grant has not yet been awarded, this project has a good chance of obtaining the CDBG grant, and therefore, funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 28 Jefferson County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$295,800	
County	Reserves	\$ 15,000	Expended for PER
County	Reserves	\$280,800	Committed by resolution
Project Total		\$591,600	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 29 City of Fort Benton – Storm Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
SRF	Loan	\$ 777,500	74 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
City	Reserves	\$ 15,000	Expended on the PER
Project Total		\$1,542,500	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 30 City of Laurel – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
SRF	Loan	\$3,882,500	83 <sup>rd</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
Project Total		\$4,632,500	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 31 Yellowstone County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 97,079	
County	Cash	\$ 97,079	Committed
Project Total		\$194,158	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 32 Town of Neihart – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$223,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
CDBG	Grant	\$100,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
Town	Cash	\$ 25,000	Committed
Project Total		\$448,000	

Even though the CDBG grant has not yet been awarded, this project has a good chance of obtaining the CDBG grant, and therefore, funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 34 Town of Manhattan – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$1,802,000	Not yet on the SRF priority list, and therefore, not yet eligible to apply for the loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,652,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 38 Town of Big Sandy – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
CDBG	Grant	\$ 450,000	Application to be re-submitted in 2007, with funding known at the earliest in April 2008
RD	Grant	\$ 446,865	Application submitted April 26, 2006
RD	Loan	\$ 384,473	Application submitted April 26, 2006
Town	Reserves	\$ 17,980	Expended on PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,049,318</b>	

Funding for the project is questionable because of the CDBG grant and the RD grant/loan package, and therefore, it is not known whether the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium. However, the town could easily substitute a larger loan for the CDBG grant, and therefore, meet start-up conditions during the biennium.

Project No. 42 (Tied) City of Shelby – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded if HB 6 is not amended
SRF	Loan	\$ 650,000	48 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list and has applied
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,500,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 42 (Tied) City of Whitefish – Wastewater System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$ 911,480	121 <sup>st</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
City	Cash	\$ 13,000	Expended on PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,774,480</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 44 Panoramic Heights and Mountain Riverheights County Water District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$191,500	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$ 98,000	Not yet on the SRF priority list, and therefore, not yet eligible to apply for the loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$389,500</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 45 Custer County – Bridge System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 63,750	
County	Cash	\$ 38,119	Committed
County	In-kind	\$ 25,631	Culvert has been purchased and labor committed
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$127,500</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 47 Elk Meadows Ranchettes County Water District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$410,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$305,180	57 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
District	Local	\$ 22,450	Funds expended on PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$837,630</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 48 City of Polson – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
SRF	Loan	\$1,072,750	113 <sup>th</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,922,750</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 50 Goodan Kell County Water District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 532,250	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
District	Reserves	\$ 23,150	Expended on the PER
SRF	Loan	\$ 409,100	103 <sup>rd</sup> on the SRF priority list, and eligible to apply for a loan
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$1,064,500</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 51 Butte-Silver Bow County – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
Natural Resource Damage Program	Grant	\$3,693,323	Application submitted March 2006
Butte-Silver Bow	Reserves	\$ 481,108	Funds committed
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$4,924,431</b>	

Approximately 50% of the NRDP was awarded and the other 50% is likely to be awarded in 2007 or 2008. Therefore, funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 53 (Tied) North Valley County Water and Sewer District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
RD	Loan	\$1,220,000	Applied in May 2006
District	Cash	\$ 11,250	Expended on PER
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$2,081,250</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 55 City of Red Lodge – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$ 750,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$ 100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded
RD	Loan	\$2,905,000	Application submitted May 2006
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$3,755,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.

Project No. 56 Black Eagle Cascade County Water and Sewer District – Water System Improvements			
Funding Source	Type of Funds	Amount	Status of Funds
TSEP	Grant	\$365,000	
RRGL	Grant	\$100,000	Awaiting decision of Legislature, but is likely to be funded if HB 6 is not amended
Local	Reserves	\$265,000	Committed by resolution
<b>Project Total</b>		<b>\$730,000</b>	

Funding would likely be obtained and the start-up conditions would be met during the biennium.



# Potential CDBG Applicants

FFY 2008 Public Facilities - May, 2007 Competition

Applicants		Amount Requested	TSEP Applicant
1	Big Sandy - (wastewater improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
2	Circle - (wastewater system improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
3	Darby - (water system improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
4	Gallatin County - Rae Water & Sewer District (water system improvements)	\$ 328,680	✓
5	Hamilton - (wastewater facilities improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
6	Helena - (youth home)	\$ 450,000	
7	Jordan - (sewer)	\$ 328,680	✓
8	Mineral County - Saltese Water & Sewer District (wastewater system)	\$ 424,000	✓
9	Missoula County - Seeley Lake Water & Sewer District (wastewater)	\$ 450,000	✓
10	Neihart - (water system improvements)	\$ 100,000	✓
11	Philipsburg - (wastewater)	\$ 450,000	
12	Pondera County - Brady Water & Sewer District - (wastewater improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
13	Roosevelt County - (public facility construction)	\$ 270,582	
14	Shelby - (renovation and expansion of Head Start facility)	\$ 450,000	
15	Twin Bridges - (wastewater system improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
16	Whitehall - (wastewater system improvements)	\$ 450,000	✓
Total Amount Requested By Anticipated Applications		\$ 5,051,942	
Est. Funding Available with Bush Administration Proposed 21% CDBG Cut		\$ 2,484,396	

# Treasure State Endowment Program Funding Available Versus Funding Requested

